

DAVID M. ROSENBERG-WOHL (S.B.
#132924)
david@hrw-law.com
Hershenson Rosenberg-Wohl,
A Professional Corporation
3080 Washington St.
San Francisco, CA 94115
Telephone: (415) 317-7756

Attorney for Plaintiff

MATTHEW R. COWAN (S.B. #281114)
mcowan@omm.com
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
400 South Hope Street, 19th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90071-2899
Telephone: (213) 430-6000
Facsimile: (213) 430-6407

MEAGHAN VERGOW*
mvergow@omm.com
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
1625 Eye Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006
Telephone: (202) 383-5300
Facsimile: (202) 383-5414

ANTON METLITSKY*
ametilitsky@omm.com
JENNIFER SOKOLER*
jsokoler@omm.com
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
1301 Avenue of the Americas, Suite 1700
New York, NY 10019
Telephone: (212) 326-2000
Facsimile: (212) 326-2061

Attorneys for Defendants
**Admitted pro hac vice*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JONATHAN GROVEMAN,

Plaintiff,

v.

UC DAVIS, REGENTS OF THE
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA,
MICHAEL V. DRAKE, GARY S. MAY,
MARY CROUGHAN, RENETTA
GARRISON TULL, CLARE SHINNERL,
PABLO REGUERIN, AND DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:24-cv-01421-DJC-AC

**STIPULATED MOTION FOR
ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF
REGARDING AMENDED
COMPLAINT AND BRIEFING
SCHEDULE; ORDER**

Assigned to Hon. Daniel J. Calabretta
Courtroom 10

1 **TO THE COURT:**

2 Pursuant to Local Rules 143, 144, and 233, the Parties, by and through their
3 attorneys of record, hereby stipulate and request as follows:

4 **WHEREAS**, on May 17, 2024, Jonathan Groveman (“Plaintiff”) filed a Complaint
5 alleging claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 based on alleged violations of Plaintiff’s rights
6 under the Equal Protection and Free Exercise Clauses, a claim under Title VI of the Civil
7 Rights Act of 1964, and a claim under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act
8 (“Complaint”) against Defendants UC Davis, The Regents of the University of California,
9 Michael V. Drake, Gary S. May, Mary Croughan, Renetta Garrison Tull, Clare Shinnerl,
10 and Pablo Reguerin (“Defendants”).

11 **WHEREAS**, the case was initially assigned to Magistrate Judge Allison Claire, who
12 entered an order on June 24, 2024, extending Defendants’ time to respond to the
13 Complaint to August 8, 2024 (ECF No. 13).

14 **WHEREAS**, the case was reassigned to this Court on August 6, 2024 (ECF No.
15 18).

16 **WHEREAS**, pursuant to this Court’s Standing Order in Civil Cases, the parties met
17 and conferred on August 7, 2024, to discuss Defendants’ contemplated motion to dismiss
18 the Complaint. The parties also conferred, pursuant to this Court’s Initial Case
19 Management Order, about the Rule 26(f) discovery plan. During the meet and confer, the
20 parties agreed, subject to the Court’s approval, to set a schedule for the filing of an
21 amended complaint, responsive motions, and the Rule 26(f) discovery plan (ECF No. 20)
22 which this Court granted (ECF No. 21).

23 **WHEREAS**, Plaintiff, with Defendants’ consent, sought a week’s additional time to
24 consider certain developments that may have a bearing on this matter before filing an
25 amended complaint (ECF No. 22) which this Court also granted (ECF No. 23). Plaintiff
26 filed his amended complaint on September 4, 2024 (ECF No. 24). Under the current
27 schedule, the deadline for Defendants to respond to the amended complaint is September
28 30, 2024, and the deadline for the Parties to file the joint Rule 26(f) discovery plan is

October 7, 2024.

WHEREAS, the Parties continue to meet and confer regarding the substance of Plaintiff's claims and believe that an extension of the current deadlines would serve the interests of judicial economy and conserve the Parties' resources.

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties, jointly stipulate, agree, and request that the Court order the following schedule.

Deadline for Defendants to respond to the amended complaint	October 17, 2024
Deadline for the Parties to file the joint Rule 26(f) discovery plan	October 24, 2024

Dated: September 25, 2024.

By: /s/ David M. Rosenberg-Wohl
David M. Rosenberg-Wohl

Attorney for Plaintiff

Dated: September 25, 2024.

By: /s/ Matthew R. Cowan
Matthew R. Cowan

Attorney for Defendants

ORDER

The Parties' Stipulated Motion for Administrative Relief is approved.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The deadline for Defendants to respond to the amended complaint is October 17, 2024.

2. The deadline for the Parties to file the joint Rule 26(f) discovery plan is October 24, 2024.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 27, 2024

/s/ Daniel J. Calabretta

THE HONORABLE DANIEL J. CALABRETTA
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE